UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

			the trict of Illinois	SCANNED a	t MENARD and i	~ I	2000
		Southern Dis	trict of Immois	Date	initials	No.	ages
	Hhew J. Smith	<u> </u>	Case Number:				
)		(Clerk's Offi	ce will provide)		
	Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s)						
	v.)	CIVIL RIGH	TS COMPLAI	NT		
٨	al C an 11 1)	pursuant to 42 U.S.	C. §1983 (State P	risoner)		
78mm	Isane Doe #1	center)	☐ CIVIL RIGH				
	Jane Doe # 1)	pursuant to 28 U.S. ☐ CIVIL COM		Prisoner)		
Optic	JOHN WEE - A		pursuant to the Fed		Act 28 HSC		
	Defendant(s)/Respondent(s))	§§1346, 2671-2680, 6		ACI, 20 U.J.C.		
		·	•				
I. JUR	ISDICTION						
Plai	ntiff:						
A.	Plaintiff's mailing confinement.	address, reg	ister number, and	d present pla	ce of		
	M	nord for	rectional Cent	ter, P.O. B	x loop.		
	Mei	l JI, bran	10442 I				
Defe	endant #1:						
В.	Defendant \int_0^{∞}	$\frac{hn}{\int \omega n} \frac{d}{dx}$	ne of First Defendant)	i	s employed	as	
	Menass Co	orrectional (b)	Center E (Position/Title)	mployee	/	_	
	with Illinois	(c) Departin	ent of Corp ployer's Name and Ad	tchions/	Menar	9	
	1301 Concerd			•	1-9277		
	Menked C.C., At the time the cla employed by the s	im(s) alleged tate, local, or	TO A MENARD I this complaint a federal government	rose, was De nent? X Ye	1 fendant #1 es □ No		
	If your answer is Y	ES, briefly e	xplain: Defenda	nt was ev	nployed a	4.	
Rev. 10/3/19	Menard and was	responsible	for the Plac	ement/sup	ervision of	i ī	
	P.C. inmates.						

Defen	dant	#2:
-------	------	-----

C.	Defendant John/ Jane Doe #2 is employed as
	(Name of Second Defendant)
	Medical Director
	(Position/Title)
	with Menard Correctional Center (Employer's Name and Address)
	P.o. Box 1000, Menard, IL 62259
	At the time the claim(s) alleged in this complaint arose, was Defendant #2 employed by the state, local, or federal government? Yes \sum No
	If you answer is YES, briefly explain:
	es a hadical Director of Monard

Additional Defendant(s) (if any):

D. Using the outline set forth above, identify any additional Defendant(s).

II. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS

- A. Have you begun any other lawsuits in state or federal court while you were in prison or jail (during either your current or a previous time in prison or jail), e.g., civil actions brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (state prisoner), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal prisoner), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346, 2671-2680, or other law? Yes \(\sigma\) No
- B. If your answer to "A" is YES, describe each lawsuit in the space below. If there is more than one lawsuit, you must describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper using the same outline. List ALL lawsuits in any jurisdiction and indicate the court where they were filed to the best of your ability, including those that resulted in the assessment of a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and/or those that were dismissed for being frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim (see 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6)). FAILURE TO FULLY DISCLOSE YOUR LITIGATION HISTORY, INCLUDING "STRIKES," MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS THAT INCLUDE DISMISSAL OF THIS ACTION.
 - 1. Parties to previous lawsuits:

 Plaintiff(s): Matthew J. Swith Bolice

Defendant(s):

Chaplain Kendell

- 2. Court (if federal court, name of the district; if state court, name of the county): Central District of Miners
- 3. Docket number: N/A (Dank Know)
- 4. Name of Judge to whom case was assigned: Shadid?
- 5. Type of case (for example: Was it a habeas corpus or civil rights action?):
- 6. Disposition of case (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?): Settlement.

7.

III.

Rev. 10/3/19

Approximate date of filing lawsuit: 2015/2016

	8. Approximate date of disposition: 2016/2017
	9. Was the case dismissed as being frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and/or did the court tell you that you received a "strike?"
Not GRIE A.	Te: A couple officer cases dismissed w/o frejudices and I case that went a EVANCE PROCEDURE of not have documents on them so can't provide a find. And filed in central District court. Is there a prisoner grievance procedure in the institution? X Yes \(\sigma\) No
В.	Did you present the facts relating to your complaint in the prisoner grievance procedure?
C.	If your answer is YES, 1. What steps did you take? Filed Grievance with Counselor, brievance Officer, and Admin is trative Review Board.
	2. What was the result?
D.	If your answer is NO, explain why not.
E.	If there is no prisoner grievance procedure in the institution, did you complain to prison authorities?
F.	If your answer is YES, 1. What steps did you take? N/A

- 2. What was the result? N/A
- G. If your answer is NO, explain why not. N/A
- H. Attach copies of your request for an administrative remedy and any response you received. If you cannot do so, explain why not:

Do not currently have documents but can get them during Discovery Stage.

IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

A. State here, as briefly as possible, when, where, how, and by whom you feel your constitutional rights were violated. Do not include legal arguments or citations. If you wish to present legal arguments or citations, file a separate memorandum of law. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. If your claims relate to prison disciplinary proceedings, attach copies of the disciplinary charges and any disciplinary hearing summary as exhibits. You should also attach any relevant, supporting documentation.

1.) On August 1, 2019. I was "Kicked out" of my old gang and signed into Protective custody (P.C.). I.A. conducted an investigation and verified that my old gang had "green lighted" me and that my safety was at risk. As a result I was approved for P.C. status, on \$/22/19 I was Placed in segregation (seg.) for houch and tattous. Menard has a policy - written or unwritten- stipulating that inmates placed in seg. lose their R.C. status while in seg. while in seg I was verbally threatened by 2 members of my old status while in seg. while in seg I was verbally threatened by 2 members of my old gang. I immediately filed a gricuance natifying prison staff of the threats. I was released from seg on 9/22/19.

In October of Lola I A discovered that while I was an active gang member—
i.e. before I went to P.C. — I had manufactured and hidden 3 Shanks. I was Placed
in seg on 8/9/19 for 1 year. Because I was placed in seg my R.C. Status was revoked—even
in seg on 8/9/19 for 1 year. Because I was placed in seg my R.C. Status was revoked—even
though the incident report regarded actions that transpired before I went to R.C., which means
though the incident report regarded actions that transpired before I went to R.C., which means
though the incident report regarded actions that transpired before I went to R.C., which means
though the incident report regarded actions that the p.C., status though the office white in P.C., status that was a result of the arbitrary and unwarranted revocation of my P.C. status I
in any way. As a result of the arbitrary and unwarranted revocation of my P.C. status I
in any way. As a result of the arbitrary and unwarranted revocation of my P.C. status I
was placed in a general population builten on 11/18/19 while waiting to see mental Health.
Was placed in a general population builten on 11/18/19 while waiting to see mental Health.
And I was affacked by a member of my old gang, which resulted in my suffering a
broken nose, a gash on my nose, as well as recieving an additional month in seg.

The facility know about the threat Posed against me by my oil gang because A.) I.t. had investigated and verified the threat; and B.) the grievance I filed on q-1-19. Yet they revoked my P.C. status - even though the shanks were made before I went to P.C. and were hillen in general Population (not P.C.) - and Placed me in a builden with general Population inmates. which resulted in me being attacked and injured.

Rev. 10/3/19 The affack and injusy should never have occurred because I should never have been Placed in Social bullpan. The facility was well aware of the threat and yet they failed to Protect me. Because of their failure I suffered a physical injury as well as suffered a

Claim (cont.)

mentally and emotionally. Any time I left my cell for medical or mental health treatment I was at risk of being attacked, and I could not go to yard - for over a year - for fear of the same. All of this fear resulted in all kinds of anxiety and depression as well as farancia.

I am not sure who is responsible for this official or unofficial policy regarding the revocation of P.C. status while in Seg. Therefore I do not know the identity or gender of Defendant John/Jone Doe #1. But whomever they are they are they are directly responsible for the attack and injuries I suffered and thus failed to Protect me.

d.) Minutes after I was attacked on 11/18/19 It was seen by a nuise who noted in my medical records that my nose was "noticulty swallen and crooked." She recommended me for X-vays but the facility failed to provide them in a timely mounter. In fact, the facility did not actually X-vay my mose until I had signed up for sick call and filed a grievance complaining about the pain and lack of treatment. Several weeks after the incident occurred I was finally X-vayed, but, by then, my mose was partially or completely healed. Because the X-rays were not conducted right away my mose was never and is

Furthermore, on 12-16-19 I received a notice from

Claim (cont.)

Nuise Plactitioner Zimmer telling me that my X-lays came back as "normal or stable." I knew this to be a lie for two obvious reasons: A) Because, as the nuise noted right after the incident, my nose was noticably crowned and Swollen; and B) I was in Physical pain for weeks after the inerdent occurred. Suspicious, I requested a copy of the actual Radiology Lab report. Said report noted on fracture of the distal nasal bone.

Thus, not only did the facility fail to X-ray me in a timely manner or re-set my mose, they also lied about the results of the X-rays. This is a clear case of bothe Medical Neglect and Medical Malpractice.

Although I received a report from Zimmer I am not sure if they one actually responsible for the lack of medical trentment and attempted cover-up. Therefore, I have listed my Second Defendant as John/Jane Doc 22.

Page 9 of 16

Page 20 #8-02

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS RESPONSE TO OFFENDER'S GRIEVANCE

Originary 0453- Para 4	
Grievance Officer's Report	
Date Received: 09/16/2019 Date of Review: 03/05/2020	Grievance # (optional): 3-9-19
Offender: Smith, Matthew	ID#: B86629
Nature of Grievance:	
Other: P.C. Status	
3	
Facts Reviewed:	
Offender submitted a grievance dated 9/1/19 and grieves not being relations.	turned to P.C. after segregation
Relief requested: That upon my release from seg I be returned to P.C.	
Counselor responded on 9/9/19 - On segregation kick-out day signing	vourself back into P.C is an
option for your placement issues. Automatic placement back into PC i	s not the procedure as your
segregation placement and loss of PC status was a result of your disci	plinary infraction(s).
Grievance Office reviewed Protective Custody policy.	
Recommendation:	
It is the recommendation of this Grievance Officer that the inmate's grie	evance be moot
give	ovarios de modi.
LI Price COU	` 0
H. Price, CCII Print Grievance Officer's Name	in Cat
(Attach a copy of Offender's Grievance, including counselor's response if	Grievance Officer's Signature applicable)
Chief Administrative Officer's Response	
Date Baselinet 2 // 24	
Date Received: 1 do not conc	cur Remand
Action Taken:	
10.0 -15	
Chief Administrative Officer's Signature	3/12/20 Date
Offender's Appeal To The Director	
	22 - 52 S
I am appealing the Chief Administrative Officer's decision to the Director. I understand this appeal must, w Administrative Officer's decision, be received by the Administrative Review Board, P.O. Box 19277, Spring of the principal property in the Administrative Administrative Property and	fithin 30 days after the date of the Chief field, IL 62794-9277. (Attach a complete copy
of the original grievance, including the counselor's response if applicable, and any pertinent documents.)	
Offender's Signature ID#	Date

Case 3:21-cv-00567-MAB

	ภูงนรม	19 OHL
Filed Dayo's Department descorrections O	of 16	REAGEVE#1

\mathcal{D}^{I}	Date: C1 1 (C) Offender: Synailla Markland SEP Oldowitz-Seffa (2)
5	Present Facility: A A C C Facility where grievance A A C C
W	issue occurred: /VILI
M	NATURE OF GRIEVANCE: Personal Property
-	☐ Staff Conduct ☐ Dietary ☐ Medical Treatment ☐ HIPAA
*	☐ Transfer Denial by Facility ☑ Other (specify): 上上上、StortU5
919	Date of Report Facility where issued
2	Note: Protective Custody Denials may be grieved immediately via the local administration on the protective custody status helification.
\$	Complete: Attach a copy of any pertinent document (such as a Disciplinary Report, Shakedown Record, etc.) and send to: \$\frac{52P}{0.5} \frac{0.5}{2019}\$
90	Counselor, unless the issue involves discipline, is deemed an emergency, or is subject to direct review by the Administratives ReviewSoard. Grievance Officer, only if the issue involves discipline at the present facility or issue not resolved by CounseOt. INICAL SERVICES
Š	Criter Administrative Onicer, unly it emercement girevanice. Administrative Review Board, only if the issue involves protective custody, involuntary administration of psychotropic drugs, issues from
September 20th, 2019	another facility except medical and personal property Issues, or Issues not resolved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
3	Summary of Grievance (Provide information including a description of what happened, when and where it happened, and the name or identifying information for each person involved):
Friday,	On 9/22/19 I was placed in Seg for hooch & tattoos, upon
ا کی	writing Counselor Hood I discovered that my placement in P.C. would be
2	revoked since I caught an IDR. In a couple of weeks I will be released from
569	Seg & 7 CANNOT return to General Population. I was expelled from my garg
ょ	(KMS) & that organization has a "Green Light"out on me. Since I've
古	been expelled from KMS I checked into P.C. I.A. conducted an investigation
D	zestablished that KMS does have a "Green Light" out on me z that I
1	Cannot return to General Population. Since I have been in Seg. I have been
A	contacted (verbally) through the Vent by KMS member "Shock" (N2-413). He
	Relief Requested: That upon my release from Scg I be returned to P.C.
品	
Z	Check only if this is an EMERGENCY grievance due to a substantial risk of imminent personal injury or other serious or irreparable harm to self.
\forall	Check if this is NOT an emergency grievance.
m	Offender's Signature
-	(Continue on reverse side if necessary)
į	Counselor's Response (if applicable) RECEIVED
	Date Received: SEP 16 2019 Send directly to Grievance Officer Outside jurisdictions of this facility. Send to
	Admir Manuel P.O. Box 19277.
	Response I Dig I gattly all - out all supp
4	ing fourself wach into so, es as
	option of cour placement usual
ŀ	automatic speacement, wack onto pc
1	LOODCOH SON CCTT 4,4,10
	Print Counselor's Name Counselor's Signature Date of Response
Ī	EMERGENCY REVIEW
	Date
	Received: / Is this determined to be of an emergency nature?
	Offender should submit this grievance in the normal manner.
	Chief Administrative Officer's Signature Date
1	Distribution: Master File; Offender Page 1 - 1 Went 5 Returned DOC 0048 (4/2018)

the pe, once out of sey

Housing Unit: _

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
OFFENDER'S GRIEVANCE (Continued)

informed me that he had received a Kyte from ECH stating that I was "bogus". Shock then told me that if either he or "Blue Blood" (Patrick Chase - Somewhere
Shock then told me that if either he or Blue Blood" (Patrick Chase - Somewhere
on 4 ballery in Not caught me while I'm in seg it "mouldn't be pretty." When I am released from seg in a couple of weeks I need to ceturn to
When I am released from seg in a couple of weeks I need to ceturn to
P.C. If I don't then t will be brutally assaulted and/or stabled by members of KMS. E.O.R.
members of KMS. E.O.R.
•
^
in hot to morning on many and make
Macana of Charles as your Defel gard
1 VI 10 17 1 NI 1 + 194 DANG SURVINE BUILDING
Martinal 5
A WILLIAM (S.)
<i>U</i>

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS **Laboratory and Radiology Summary**

Menard Correctional Center
Facility

Date: 13/10/19
Offender/Patient Name: Smith, Matthew
Offender ID Number: <u>B80009</u>
Housing Unit: $N^{3}(-3)$
The following checked results were found to be normal or stable by 2mmer np, MD
Laboratory completed:
Radiology completed: 13/2



OneRadiology Normal, IL 61761 December 4, 2019

SMITH, MATTHEW

ID#: B86629 DOB: 9-24-91

Ordered by: Dr. Siddiqui **Menard Correctional Center**

NASAL BONES 12-2-2019:

HISTORY: Rule out fracture.

Three views were obtained. There is a possible subtle non-displaced distal nasal bone fracture. No other fracture or bony abnormality. No sinus air fluid level is noted.

IMPRESSION: Possible subtle non-displaced distal nasal bone fracture.

DIC: 12-4-2019

Films from Menard Correctional Center

M.D. REV DATE DOCTOR **PULL CHART** SEE PATIENT J.B. Pritzker Governor



Exh;bit

Rob Jeffreys Acting Director

The Illinois Department of Corrections

1	301 Concordia Court, P.O. Box 19277 • Sprinafiel	eld, IL 62794-9277 • (217) 558-2200 TDD: (800) 526-0844
Offender:	Smith, Mathew	11/19/20
ID#:	B86629	Date
Facility:	Menard	
a formal hear	ring. A review of the Grievance, Grievance Officer/CAO i by by the ARB, a review of the Grievance has been cond	This office has determined the issue will be addressed without of response to the grievance has been conducted. For a grievance that inducted.
Your issue r	regarding: Grievance dated: 11/25/19 Grievan	ance Number: 251-11-19 Griev Loc: Menard
	er denied by the Facility Nas not taken to outside facilty for x-ray, nose wa	ras visible broken after being assaulted on 11/18/19.
Person	al Property	
☐ Mailroc	om/Publications	
Assign	ment (job, cell)	
☐ Commi	issary / Trust Fund	
☐ Conditi	ons (cell conditions, cleaning supplies, etc.)	
☐ Discipli	nary Report: Dated: Incident #	
Other	Security: Wants all members of STG group KMS classif	sified as KSF's, wants PC designation kept while in SEG, Wants comp
Based on a re	eview of all available information, this office has dete	termined your grievance to be:
☐ Affirme	d, Warden is advised to a written response of corrective action to this office by	Denied as the facility is following the procedures outlined in
	, in accordance with DR504F, this is an administrative	 Denied as procedures were followed in accordance with DR 420 for removal/denial of an offender from/for an assignment.
decisio		☐ Denied as this office finds no violation of the offender's due process in accordance with DR504.80 and DR504.30. This
addres	sed by the facility Administration.	office is reasonably satisfied the offender committed the offense cited in the report.
☐ Other:	Monetary compensation is not part of ARB's scope of	of review.
FOR THE BO	DARD: Dave White Administrative Review Board	CONCURRED: Pob Parties Acting Director
CC: Warden		
Smith,	Mathew, ID#	-

Mission: To serve justice in Illinois and increase public safety by promoting positive change in offender behavior, operating successful reentry programs, and reducing victimization.

Page 15 of 16 Page ID #15

V. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State exactly what you want this court to do for you. If you are a state or federal prisoner and seek relief which affects the fact or duration of your imprisonment (for example: illegal detention, restoration of good time, expungement of records, or parole), you must file your claim on a habeas corpus form, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241, 2254, or 2255. Copies of these forms are available from the clerk's office.

Nominal Damages: \$25,000.00 Dollars.

Compensatory Damages: \$25,000.00 Dollars.

Punitive Damages: \$25,000,00 Dollars.

Injunctive Relief: That EVERY member of KMS beadded to my KSFlist.

Injunctive Relief: That P.C. Status still apply while in seg.

VI. JURY DEMAND (check one box below)

The plaintiff \Box does does not request a trial by jury.

DECLARATION UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 11

I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, that this complaint is in full compliance with Rule 11(a) and 11(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The undersigned also recognizes that failure to comply with Rule 11 may result in sanctions.

Signed $\frac{\text{Signed}}{\text{on:}} \frac{\text{Supe S, } 202)}{\text{(date)}}$	Signature of Plaintiff
P.O. Bex 1000	Matthew J. Smith
Street Address	Printed Name
Menced th 62259	B86629
City, State, Zip	Prisoner Register Number

Signature of Attorney (if any)



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6-10-2 \ SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS prisoner.esl@ilsd.uscourts.gov

ELECTRONIC FILING COVER SHEET

Please complete this form and include it when sub-	mitting any type of document, letter, pleading, etc. to
the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of I	Illinois for review and filing.
S. M.	R & L () Q

the U.S	5. District Court for the Southern District of Illir	nois for review and fi	
	Smith	B86629	
	Name	ID Number	
	Please answer questions as thoroughly as pos	sible and circle yes o	r no where indicated.
1.	Is this a new civil rights complaint or habeas	corpus petition?	Yes or No
	If this is a habeas case, please circle the related	d statute: 28 U.S.C.	2241 or 28 U.S.C. 2254
2.	Is this an Amended Complaint or an Amende	d Habeas Petition?	Yes of No
	If yes, please list case number:	NA	
	If yes, but you do not know the case n	number mark here:-	
3.	Should this document be filed in a pending ca	ase?	Yes of No
	If yes, please list case number:	MA	
	If yes, but you do not know the case n	umber mark here:	·
4.	Please list the total number of pages being tran	nsmitted:	21
5.	If multiple documents, please identify each document. For example: Motion to Proceed I		
منہ	Name of Document	Nu	ımber of Pages
P:+	5	_	7
To	Proceed Without Costs		3
and	Class Antion Mation		3

Please note that discovery requests and responses are NOT to be filed, and should be forwarded to the attorney(s) of record. Discovery materials sent to the Court will be returned unfiled.